Higher order Goh conditions

Roberto Monti (Padova)

joint work with

F. Boarotto (Agrachev's student) and A. Socionovo (PhD student, Padova)

Seminar in Geometric Control Theory Moscow, 16th February 2022

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Italian school in Calculus of Variations (minimality, regularity, etc.)

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Italian school in Calculus of Variations (minimality, regularity, etc.)

Russian and Soviet school in Control Theory

Italian school in Calculus of Variations (minimality, regularity, etc.)

Russian and Soviet school in Control Theory

Pontryagin \rightarrow Gamkrelidze \rightarrow Agrachev (Moscow and Trieste, Italy)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Italian school in Calculus of Variations (minimality, regularity, etc.)

Russian and Soviet school in Control Theory

Pontryagin \rightarrow Gamkrelidze \rightarrow Agrachev (Moscow and Trieste, Italy)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Today's results origin from a meeting of these two traditions.

In the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the two vector fields

$$f_1 = rac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)rac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n rac{\partial}{\partial z},$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter.

In the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the two vector fields

$$f_1 = rac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)rac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n rac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter. Consider the distribution of planes

$$\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, f_2\} \subset TM$$

and let g be the metric on Δ making f_1, f_2 orthonormal.

In the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the two vector fields

$$f_1 = rac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)rac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n rac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter. Consider the distribution of planes

$$\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, f_2\} \subset TM$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

and let g be the metric on Δ making f_1, f_2 orthonormal.

 $(M, \Delta, g) =$ sub-Riemannian manifold

In the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the two vector fields

$$f_1 = rac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)rac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n rac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter. Consider the distribution of planes

$$\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, f_2\} \subset TM$$

and let g be the metric on Δ making f_1, f_2 orthonormal.

 $(M, \Delta, g) =$ sub-Riemannian manifold and $\gamma \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ is admissible if

$$\dot{\gamma} = u_1 f_1(\gamma) + u_2 f_2(\gamma), \quad u = (u_1, u_2) \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^2) \quad \text{``control''}.$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

In the manifold $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ consider the two vector fields

$$f_1 = rac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)rac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n rac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

where $n \in \mathbb{N}$ is a parameter. Consider the distribution of planes

$$\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, f_2\} \subset TM$$

and let g be the metric on Δ making f_1, f_2 orthonormal.

 $(M, \Delta, g) =$ sub-Riemannian manifold and $\gamma \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ is admissible if

$$\dot{\gamma} = u_1 f_1(\gamma) + u_2 f_2(\gamma), \quad u = (u_1, u_2) \in L^2([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^2)$$
 "control".

The length of γ is

$$L(\gamma)=\int_0^1|u|dt.$$

・ロト (四) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

 $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$

<ロト < 部ト < 注ト < 注ト = うへで</p>

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem. We have the following facts depending on $n \ge 2$.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem. We have the following facts depending on $n \ge 2$. i) γ is the unique strictly singular (abnormal) extremal through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem. We have the following facts depending on $n \ge 2$. i) γ is the unique strictly singular (abnormal) extremal through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. ii) For even $n \ge 2$, γ IS locally length minimizing (for fixed end-points).

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem. We have the following facts depending on $n \ge 2$. i) γ is the unique strictly singular (abnormal) extremal through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. ii) For even $n \ge 2$, γ IS locally length minimizing (for fixed end-points). iii) For odd $n \ge 3$, γ is NOT locally length minimizing.

Now consider the admissible curve (it is a line)

$$\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0), \quad t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem. We have the following facts depending on $n \ge 2$. i) γ is the unique strictly singular (abnormal) extremal through $0 \in \mathbb{R}^3$. ii) For even $n \ge 2$, γ IS locally length minimizing (for fixed end-points). iii) For odd $n \ge 3$, γ is NOT locally length minimizing.

In this talk, I will try to explain WHY.

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Question. Is any length minimizing curve in (M, Δ, g) of class C^{1} ?

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Question. Is any length minimizing curve in (M, Δ, g) of class C^{1} ?

We do not know.

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Question. Is any length minimizing curve in (M, Δ, g) of class C^{1} ?

We do not know.

Nice case. When the length min. curve γ is a normal extremal:

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Question. Is any length minimizing curve in (M, Δ, g) of class C^1 ?

We do not know.

Nice case. When the length min. curve γ is a normal extremal: γ normal $\stackrel{PMP}{\Rightarrow}$ Hamilton equations a.e. $\stackrel{\text{iteration}}{\Rightarrow} \gamma \in C^{\infty}$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Let (M, Δ, g) a sub-Riemannian manifold, everything is smooth.

Question. Is any length minimizing curve in (M, Δ, g) of class C^1 ? We do not know.

Nice case. When the length min. curve γ is a normal extremal:

$$\gamma$$
 normal $\stackrel{PMP}{\Rightarrow}$ Hamilton equations a.e. $\stackrel{\text{iteration}}{\Rightarrow} \gamma \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}$

Bad case. When γ is singular the situation is confused because there are many

examples of singular extremals that are minimizing (all smooth);
examples of nonsmooth singular extremals, we do not know if minimizing or not.

- (M, Δ) sub-Riemannian manifold
- M smooth manifold
- $-\Delta = \operatorname{span}{f_1, \ldots, f_d} \subset TM$ horiz. distribution (Hörmander condition)

- (M, Δ) sub-Riemannian manifold
- M smooth manifold
- $\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\} \subset TM$ horiz. distribution (Hörmander condition)

For $q \in M$ and $u \in X = L^2([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ let $\gamma = \gamma_{q,u}$ be the solution to

$$\dot{\gamma} = f_u(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i(\gamma)$$
 on $[0,1], \qquad \gamma(0) = q.$

- (M, Δ) sub-Riemannian manifold
- M smooth manifold
- $-\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\} \subset TM$ horiz. distribution (Hörmander condition)

For $q \in M$ and $u \in X = L^2([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ let $\gamma = \gamma_{q,u}$ be the solution to

$$\dot{\gamma} = f_u(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i(\gamma) \quad \text{on } [0,1], \qquad \gamma(0) = q.$$

The end-point map is the smooth map $F = F_q: X o M$

$$F(u)=\gamma_{q,u}(1).$$

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の < で</p>

 (M, Δ) sub-Riemannian manifold

- M smooth manifold
- $-\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\} \subset TM$ horiz. distribution (Hörmander condition)

For $q \in M$ and $u \in X = L^2([0,1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ let $\gamma = \gamma_{q,u}$ be the solution to

$$\dot{\gamma} = f_u(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^d u_i f_i(\gamma) \quad \text{on } [0,1], \qquad \gamma(0) = q.$$

The end-point map is the smooth map $F = F_q : X \to M$

$$F(u)=\gamma_{q,u}(1).$$

Definition. The control $u \in X$ is *singular* if the differential $d_uF: X \to T_{F(u)}M$ is not surjective.

Theorem. Let γ be a strictly sing. minimizing extremal in (M, Δ, g) . Then any adjoint curve $\lambda : [0, 1] \to T^*M$ satisfies:

Theorem. Let γ be a strictly sing. minimizing extremal in (M, Δ, g) . Then any adjoint curve $\lambda : [0, 1] \to T^*M$ satisfies:

i) $\langle \lambda, f_i(\gamma) \rangle = 0, i = 1, \dots, d.$ (PMP, "strictly" not needed here)

Theorem. Let γ be a strictly sing. minimizing extremal in (M, Δ, g) . Then any adjoint curve $\lambda : [0, 1] \to T^*M$ satisfies:

i) $\langle \lambda, f_i(\gamma) \rangle = 0, i = 1, ..., d.$ (PMP, "strictly" not needed here)

ii) $\langle \lambda, [f_i, f_j](\gamma) \rangle = 0, i, j = 1, \dots, d$. (Goh condition, "strictly" needed)

Theorem. Let γ be a strictly sing. minimizing extremal in (M, Δ, g) . Then any adjoint curve $\lambda : [0, 1] \to T^*M$ satisfies:

i) $\langle \lambda, f_i(\gamma) \rangle = 0, i = 1, ..., d.$ (PMP, "strictly" not needed here) ii) $\langle \lambda, [f_i, f_j](\gamma) \rangle = 0, i, j = 1, ..., d.$ (Goh condition, "strictly" needed)

Comments:

1) The bracket $[f_i, f_j]$ appears in the computation for the Hessian $\mathcal{D}_u^2 F$ of the end-point map F.

Theorem. Let γ be a strictly sing. minimizing extremal in (M, Δ, g) . Then any adjoint curve $\lambda : [0, 1] \to T^*M$ satisfies:

i) $\langle \lambda, f_i(\gamma) \rangle = 0, i = 1, ..., d.$ (PMP, "strictly" not needed here) ii) $\langle \lambda, [f_i, f_j](\gamma) \rangle = 0, i, j = 1, ..., d.$ (Goh condition, "strictly" needed)

Comments:

1) The bracket $[f_i, f_j]$ appears in the computation for the Hessian $\mathcal{D}_u^2 F$ of the end-point map F.

2) The proof of ii) goes as follows:

$$\langle \lambda, [f_i, f_j](\gamma) \rangle \neq 0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad \operatorname{index}(\mathscr{D}_u^2 F) = \infty \quad \stackrel{strictly}{\Rightarrow} \quad \mathscr{F} \text{ open}$$

Above, $\mathscr{F} = (F, L) =$ extended end-point map with L = length.

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1.

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

$$\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$$

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0, 1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\dots, [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \dots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \quad j_1, \dots, j_n = 1, \dots, d.$$

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0,1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\ldots, [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \ldots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_n = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0, 1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\dots, [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \dots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \quad j_1, \dots, j_n = 1, \dots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

1) Open mapping theorems of order n;
Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0,1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\dots, [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \dots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \qquad j_1, \dots, j_n = 1, \dots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

1) Open mapping theorems of order n;

2) Taylor's expansion of the end-point map catching the "geometric term";

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0,1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\dots, [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \dots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \qquad j_1, \dots, j_n = 1, \dots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

1) Open mapping theorems of order n;

2) Taylor's expansion of the end-point map catching the "geometric term";

3) Use generalized Jacobi identities and shuffle algebras of iterated integrals;

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}^h_{u}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0,1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\ldots [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \ldots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_n = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

1) Open mapping theorems of order n;

2) Taylor's expansion of the end-point map catching the "geometric term";

3) Use generalized Jacobi identities and shuffle algebras of iterated integrals;

4) Controls of trigonometric type with sparse and high frequences to get (*).

Theorem. (M, Δ, g) sub-Riemannian manifold, $\gamma = \gamma_u \in AC([0, 1]; M)$ strictly singular length minimizing curve of corank 1. Given $n \ge 3$, assume that

 $\mathscr{D}^h_{u}F=0, \qquad h=2,\ldots,n-1.$

Then any adjoint curve $\lambda \in AC([0,1]; T^*M)$ satisfies

(*)
$$\langle \lambda, [f_{j_1}, [\ldots [f_{j_{n-1}}, f_{j_n}] \ldots]](\gamma) \rangle = 0, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_n = 1, \ldots, d.$$

Steps in the proof:

1) Open mapping theorems of order n;

2) Taylor's expansion of the end-point map catching the "geometric term";

3) Use generalized Jacobi identities and shuffle algebras of iterated integrals;

4) Controls of trigonometric type with sparse and high frequences to get (*).

<ロト < 部ト < 注ト < 注ト = うへで</p>

 $\begin{aligned} X &= \text{Banach space} \\ \mathscr{F} &: X \to M \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^m \text{ smooth mapping} \\ u \in X \text{ singular, say } u = 0 \end{aligned}$

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

X = Banach space $\mathscr{F} : X \to M \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^m \text{ smooth mapping}$ $u \in X \text{ singular, say } u = 0$

In our case $\mathscr{F} = (F, L) : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is the extended end-point map.

X = Banach space $\mathscr{F} : X \to M \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^m \text{ smooth mapping}$ $u \in X \text{ singular, say } u = 0$

In our case $\mathscr{F} = (F, L) : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ is the extended end-point map.

Definition. The cokernel of the differential of \mathscr{F} is

 $\operatorname{coker}(d_0\mathscr{F}) = T_{F(0)}M \times \mathbb{R}/\operatorname{Im}(d_0\mathscr{F}).$

We denote by $\pi : T_{F(0)}M \times \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0\mathscr{F})$ the projection.

 $\begin{array}{l} X = \text{Banach space} \\ \mathscr{F} : X \to M \times \mathbb{R} \equiv \mathbb{R}^m \text{ smooth mapping} \\ u \in X \text{ singular, say } u = 0 \\ \text{In our case } \mathscr{F} = (F, L) : X \to \mathbb{R}^m \text{ is the extended end-point map.} \\ \textbf{Definition. The cokernel of the differential of } \mathscr{F} \text{ is} \end{array}$

$$\operatorname{coker}(d_0\mathscr{F}) = T_{F(0)}M \times \mathbb{R}/\operatorname{Im}(d_0\mathscr{F}).$$

We denote by $\pi : T_{F(0)}M \times \mathbb{R} \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0\mathscr{F})$ the projection.

Definition. The singular point u = 0 is *strictly* singular when

$$\operatorname{coker}(d_0\mathscr{F}) = \operatorname{coker}(d_0F) = T_{F(0)}M/\operatorname{Im}(d_0F).$$

The *L* component is quotiented out by π .

Let $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be smooth, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X$.

Definition. We define the *n*th-order multilinear derivative of F at u = 0

$$D_0^n F(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} v_k \right) \right|_{t=0}$$

٠

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

Let $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be smooth, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X$.

Definition. We define the *n*th-order multilinear derivative of F at u = 0

$$D_0^n F(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} v_k\right) \right|_{t=0}$$

Definition. By induction on $n \ge 2$ we define the domain (below $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$)

 $\operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) = \left\{ v \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^{n-1} F) \times X \mid D_0^{n-1} F(v) = 0 \right\} \subset X^{n-1},$

ション ふゆ アメビア メロア しょうくり

Let $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be smooth, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X$.

Definition. We define the *n*th-order multilinear derivative of F at u = 0

$$D_0^n F(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} v_k\right) \right|_{t=0}$$

Definition. By induction on $n \ge 2$ we define the domain (below $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$)

 $\operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) = \left\{ v \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^{n-1} F) \times X \mid D_0^{n-1} F(v) = 0 \right\} \subset X^{n-1},$

and the intrinsic differential $\mathscr{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F)$

$$\mathscr{D}_0^n F(v) = \pi(D_0^n F(v,*)), \quad v \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F).$$

・ロト ・ 語 ト ・ 目 ト ・ 目 ・ うへつ

Let $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ be smooth, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $v_1, \ldots, v_n \in X$.

Definition. We define the *n*th-order multilinear derivative of F at u = 0

$$D_0^n F(v_1,\ldots,v_n) = \left. \frac{d^n}{dt^n} F\left(\sum_{k=1}^n \frac{t^k}{k!} v_k\right) \right|_{t=0}$$

Definition. By induction on $n \ge 2$ we define the domain (below $v = (v_1, \ldots, v_{n-1})$)

$$\operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) = \left\{ v \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^{n-1} F) \times X \mid D_0^{n-1} F(v) = 0 \right\} \subset X^{n-1},$$

and the intrinsic differential $\mathscr{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F)$

$$\mathscr{D}_0^n F(v) = \pi(D_0^n F(v,*)), \quad v \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F).$$

Comment. When n = 2 we are defining the usual intrinsic Hessian

$$\mathscr{D}_0^2 F : \ker(d_0 F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F).$$

Theorem. $F: X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

<ロト < 部ト < 注ト < 注ト = うへで</p>

i) $\mathcal{D}_0^h F = 0, \qquad 2 \le h < n;$

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

- i) $\mathcal{D}_0^h F = 0, \qquad 2 \le h < n;$
- ii) $\mathscr{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F)$ is regular.

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

i) $\mathcal{D}_0^h F = 0, \qquad 2 \le h < n;$

ii) $\mathscr{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F)$ is regular.

Then F is open at 0.

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

$$\begin{split} &\text{i)} \ \mathcal{D}_0^h F = 0, \qquad 2 \leq h < n; \\ &\text{ii)} \ \mathcal{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F) \text{ is } regular. \\ &\text{Then } F \text{ is open at } 0. \end{split}$$

I am not going to define "regular". However:

Lemma. Let dim $(coker(d_0F)) = 1$ and assume:

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

$$\begin{split} &\text{i)} \ \mathcal{D}_0^h F = 0, \qquad 2 \leq h < n; \\ &\text{ii)} \ \mathcal{D}_0^n F : \operatorname{dom}(\mathcal{D}_0^n F) \to \operatorname{coker}(d_0 F) \text{ is } regular. \\ &\text{Then } F \text{ is open at } 0. \end{split}$$

I am not going to define "regular". However:

Lemma. Let dim(coker(d_0F)) = 1 and assume: a) n odd and there is $v \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_0^n F)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_0^n F(v) \neq 0$; OR,

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{i}) \ \mathscr{D}_0^h F = 0, & 2 \leq h < n; \\ \mathrm{ii}) \ \mathscr{D}_0^n F : \mathrm{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \mathrm{coker}(d_0 F) \ \mathrm{is} \ regular. \\ \mathrm{Then} \ F \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{open \ at} \ 0. \end{array}$

I am not going to define "regular". However:

Lemma. Let dim(coker(d_0F)) = 1 and assume: a) n odd and there is $v \in \text{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F)$ such that $\mathscr{D}_0^n F(v) \neq 0$; OR, b) n even and there are $v^{\pm} \in \text{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F)$ such that $\mathscr{D}_0^n F(v^{\pm}) = \pm 1$;

Theorem. $F : X \to \mathbb{R}^m$ smooth map and u = 0 singular point, $n \ge 3$. Assume that:

 $\begin{array}{ll} \mathrm{i}) \ \mathscr{D}_0^h F = 0, & 2 \leq h < n; \\ \mathrm{ii}) \ \mathscr{D}_0^n F : \mathrm{dom}(\mathscr{D}_0^n F) \to \mathrm{coker}(d_0 F) \ \mathrm{is} \ regular. \\ \mathrm{Then} \ F \ \mathrm{is} \ \mathrm{open \ at} \ 0. \end{array}$

I am not going to define "regular". However:

Lemma. Let dim(coker(d_0F)) = 1 and assume: a) *n* odd and there is $v \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_0^n F)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_0^n F(v) \neq 0$; OR, b) *n* even and there are $v^{\pm} \in \text{dom}(\mathcal{D}_0^n F)$ such that $\mathcal{D}_0^n F(v^{\pm}) = \pm 1$; Then $\mathcal{D}_0^n F$ is regular.

< ロ ト < 回 ト < 三 ト < 三 ト 三 の < で</p>

Consider again $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The curve $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0)$ has control u = (0, 1).

Consider again $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The curve $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0)$ has control u = (0, 1).

After some (nontriavial) computations, we discover $\mathscr{D}_u^h F = 0$ for $h \leq n-1$ and

$$\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 v_1(\tau) d\tau \right)^n dt.$$

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Consider again $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The curve $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0)$ has control u = (0, 1).

After some (nontriavial) computations, we discover $\mathscr{D}_u^h F = 0$ for $h \leq n-1$ and

$$\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 v_1(\tau) d\tau\right)^n dt.$$

We deduce that:

Consider again $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The curve $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0)$ has control u = (0, 1).

After some (nontriavial) computations, we discover $\mathscr{D}_u^h F = 0$ for $h \leq n-1$ and

$$\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 v_1(\tau) d\tau\right)^n dt.$$

We deduce that:

a) *n* odd: there is $v \in dom(\mathscr{D}_u^n F)$ with $\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) \neq 0$. So γ is not length minimizing.

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ = 臣 = のへ⊙

Consider again $M = \mathbb{R}^3$ with

$$f_1 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad f_2 = (1-x)\frac{\partial}{\partial y} + x^n \frac{\partial}{\partial z}.$$

The curve $\gamma(t) = (0, t, 0)$ has control u = (0, 1).

After some (nontriavial) computations, we discover $\mathscr{D}_u^h F = 0$ for $h \leq n-1$ and

$$\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) = \int_0^1 \left(\int_t^1 v_1(\tau) d\tau\right)^n dt.$$

We deduce that:

a) *n* odd: there is $v \in dom(\mathscr{D}_u^n F)$ with $\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v) \neq 0$. So γ is not length minimizing.

b) *n* even: we have $\mathscr{D}_u^n F \ge 0$. This "coercivity" is compatible with the local minimality of γ .

 (M, Δ) SR structure with $\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}.$

 (M, Δ) SR structure with $\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}.$

Pull back via optimal flow. Let *u* be the control of γ . For i = 1, ..., d we define the time-dependent vector-fields

$$g_i^t = g_i^{u,t} = (P_t^1)_* f_i = \operatorname{Ad}\left(\overrightarrow{\exp}\int_1^t f_{u(\tau)} d\tau\right) f_i.$$

・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・
・

 (M, Δ) SR structure with $\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}.$

Pull back via optimal flow. Let *u* be the control of γ . For i = 1, ..., d we define the time-dependent vector-fields

$$g_i^t = g_i^{u,t} = (P_t^1)_* f_i = \operatorname{Ad}\left(\overrightarrow{\exp}\int_1^t f_{u(\tau)} d\tau\right) f_i.$$

Then we set

$$g_v^t = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i g_i^t, \qquad v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲臣▶ ▲臣▶ 三臣 - のへ⊙

 (M, Δ) SR structure with $\Delta = \operatorname{span}\{f_1, \ldots, f_d\}.$

Pull back via optimal flow. Let *u* be the control of γ . For i = 1, ..., d we define the time-dependent vector-fields

$$g_i^t = g_i^{u,t} = (P_t^1)_* f_i = \operatorname{Ad}\left(\overrightarrow{\exp}\int_1^t f_{u(\tau)} d\tau\right) f_i.$$

Then we set

$$g_v^t = \sum_{i=1}^d v_i g_i^t, \qquad v = (v_1, \ldots, v_d).$$

Localization of controls. For $t_0 \in [0,1)$ and s > 0 small we let

$$v_{t_0,s}(t) = v\left(\frac{t-t_0}{s}\right)\chi_{[t_0,t_0+s]}(t), \quad t \in [0,1].$$

Definition of V_{n-1} . We say that $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^d) \in L^1([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to V_{n-1} if for any $1 \le h \le n-1$

$$\int_{\Sigma_h} v^{j_1}(t_1) \dots v^{j_h}(t_h) dt_1 \dots dt_h = 0, \quad j_1, \dots, j_k \in \{1, \dots, d\},$$

where $\Sigma_h = \{0 < t_h < \ldots < t_1 < 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^h$ is the standard *h*-simplex.

Definition of V_{n-1} . We say that $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^d) \in L^1([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to V_{n-1} if for any $1 \le h \le n-1$

$$\int_{\Sigma_h} v^{j_1}(t_1) \ldots v^{j_h}(t_h) dt_1 \ldots dt_h = 0, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, d\},$$

where $\Sigma_h = \{ 0 < t_h < \ldots < t_1 < 1 \} \subset \mathbb{R}^h$ is the standard *h*-simplex.

Problem. V_{n-1} is not a linear space.

Definition of V_{n-1} . We say that $v = (v^1, \ldots, v^d) \in L^1([0, 1]; \mathbb{R}^d)$ belongs to V_{n-1} if for any $1 \le h \le n-1$

$$\int_{\Sigma_h} v^{j_1}(t_1) \ldots v^{j_h}(t_h) dt_1 \ldots dt_h = 0, \quad j_1, \ldots, j_k \in \{1, \ldots, d\},$$

where $\Sigma_h = \{0 < t_h < \ldots < t_1 < 1\} \subset \mathbb{R}^h$ is the standard *h*-simplex.

Problem. V_{n-1} is not a linear space.

Theorem. If $v \in V_{n-1}$ then

$$D_u^n F(v_{t_0,s},*) = s^n \int_{\Sigma_n} [g_{v(t_n)}^{t_0}, [\dots, [g_{v(t_2)}^{t_0}, g_{v(t_1)}^{t_0}] \dots]] dt + O(s^{n+1}).$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへぐ

Theorem. Let *u* be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that:

Theorem. Let u be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that: i) $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$;

Theorem. Let u be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that: i) $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$; ii) For some $t_{0} \in [0, 1)$ and $v \in V_{n-1}$ we have

$$0\neq \mathscr{G}_{t_0}(v)=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{1}{s^n}\mathscr{D}_u^nF(v_{t_0,s},*).$$

Theorem. Let u be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that: i) $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$; ii) For some $t_{0} \in [0, 1)$ and $v \in V_{n-1}$ we have

$$0\neq \mathscr{G}_{t_0}(v)=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{1}{s^n}\mathscr{D}_u^n F(v_{t_0,s},*).$$

Then $\gamma = \gamma_u$ is NOT length minimizing.
Theorem. Let u be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that: i) $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$; ii) For some $t_{0} \in [0, 1)$ and $v \in V_{n-1}$ we have

$$0\neq \mathscr{G}_{t_0}(v)=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{1}{s^n}\mathscr{D}_u^nF(v_{t_0,s},*).$$

Then $\gamma = \gamma_u$ is NOT length minimizing.

Problem. We need $(v_{t_0,s},*) \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_u^n F)$. This is not trivial.

Theorem. Let u be strictly singular of corank 1. Assume that: i) $\mathscr{D}_{u}^{h}F = 0$ for $1 \leq h \leq n-1$; ii) For some $t_{0} \in [0,1)$ and $v \in V_{n-1}$ we have

$$0\neq \mathscr{G}_{t_0}(v)=\lim_{s\to 0^+}\frac{1}{s^n}\mathscr{D}_u^nF(v_{t_0,s},*).$$

Then $\gamma = \gamma_u$ is NOT length minimizing.

Problem. We need $(v_{t_0,s},*) \in \operatorname{dom}(\mathscr{D}_u^n F)$. This is not trivial.

Corollary. We have the necessary condition

(†)
$$0 = \mathscr{G}_{t_0}(v) = \sum_{\alpha} \langle \lambda, [g_{\alpha_n}^{t_0}, [\ldots, [g_{\alpha_2}^{t_0}, g_{\alpha_1}^{t_0}] \ldots]] \rangle \int_{\Sigma_n} v^{\alpha_n}(t_n) \ldots v^{\alpha_1}(t_1) dt,$$

where $\lambda = \lambda(1)$ is the covector at the end-point originating the adjoint curve.

<ロト < 部ト < 注ト < 注ト = うへで</p>

The next steps are:

1) Polarization of equation (†);

<ロト < 部ト < 注ト < 注ト = 三 のへで</p>

The next steps are:

- 1) Polarization of equation (†);
- 2) Clean up the formula using Jacobi identities of order n.

The next steps are:

- 1) Polarization of equation (†);
- 2) Clean up the formula using Jacobi identities of order n.
- 3) Find controls $v \in V_{n-1}$ such that the system (†) becomes nonsingular.

The next steps are:

- 1) Polarization of equation (†);
- 2) Clean up the formula using Jacobi identities of order n.
- 3) Find controls $v \in V_{n-1}$ such that the system (†) becomes nonsingular.

Conclusion. For each fixed multi-index α we have

$$\langle \lambda, [g_{\alpha_n}^{t_0}, [\ldots, [g_{\alpha_2}^{t_0}, g_{\alpha_1}^{t_0}] \ldots]] \rangle = 0.$$

ション ふゆ アメビアメロア しょうくの

These are the Goh conditions of order n.

You find the preprint on arxiv:

Boarotto, Monti, Socionovo Higher order Goh conditions for singular extremals of corank 1

*ロ * * @ * * ミ * ミ * ・ ミ * の < や

Or write me: monti@math.unipd.it

Thank you for your patient attention.